(cc: Jim L.)

April 3, 1974

Dear Harold,

I have your notes of 3/26 and 3/31.

I'm glad that you can take care of the Archives Project. You mentioned that the crew has my 3/ x to Jim. In that letter I mentioned the similar request I made in my 2/20 to Jim. That effort can b probably be taken care of at the same time. It was for a memo, 3 pp., April 16, from Griffin to Slawson, dealing with an interview with Mrs. C. L. Connell. There were two copies in the Odio name file; the first was not very legible; the second was better. I think I flagged it but did not get it, so it may have been removed; perhaps they removed only the second copy, but I doubt it. (Note: there are 2 other memos of the same date, also Griffin to Slawson, also withheld, which I have; I think I sent them to you as well as Jim already.)

I mailed you a copy of the Incoming Mail Log, Entry 3, on March 30, 3rd class. Since my only copy which has the annotations I want to send you is already reduced (2-on-1), your copy is 2-on-1 also, but it is rather clear and I trust it will be okay. I should mention that many of the descriptions in the log are misleading; also, some of those letters haven't been found in the proper files. If you make up a list of letters you want, let me know before you ask at the archives and I will tell you if I have already gotten or asked for them.

Here are a dozen of my little address labels, for your convenience.

Your 3/26 discussed the changes in the Odio testimony. The copy in the Odio name file has the changes marked by hand; it was the ribbon copy; and had Liebeler's "okay to print" on it. I don't think it is worth it to me to get the entire transcript (about 142 pages), or even all the pages with changes, although I do agree that the changes are interesting. You mentioned that you knew about Alejandro-Leopoldo. He is interviewed at CD 1546.185, also mentioned on p. 210 of the same CD.

In your 3/31, you asked about the alleged changes in the Craig transcript. The most detailed report on this which I have seen is in "Forgive my Grief III", pp. 29-35.

Re projects for people to do at the Archives: one large project would be to go over the physical evidence, especially Oswald's possessions, and make a detailed list with good descriptions. I don't think any critic (or any WC people with critical attitudes) ever did this. It would be a lot of work. However, from the things I have run across, I know there is lots of "new" information in that material. (I recall that Bob Smith did a good job on describing and studying just a few of the items.) For example, purely by chance I found a disc recording of Oswald's N.O. radio show which the FBI had before the assassination (#D-215, I think). This project would be done best, of course, if it involved someone with a real knowledge of Russian.

I hear a rumor that the Skolnick crazies would like access to the CTIA files. I would dislike this almost as much as you. There are a number of my things in there that were sent on a confidential basis (before I started to send things to Jim and Bob personally.)

I also hear that Bob is no longer in D.C. Really?

Best, Paul

PLH